1) Regarding the Request for the HLPE Document on Nutrition, the CSM Working Group on Nutrition decided not to advance further comments at this stage, also considering the necessity to move the process forward. However, the CSM feels that the document, while comprehensive and substantive, somehow suffers from the lack of logical sequence in advancing the nutrition conversation within the CFS, as this would have been the natural consequence of a larger debate, including a deeper conceptual and political understanding of nutrition in the CFS context, rather than its first step. However, there is nothing we can do about this at this stage. We therefore feel that we should let the HLPE proceed with its work, while the OEWG, under the able leadership of its Chair, can further its process.

2) Regarding the OEWG calendar, we welcome the inclusion - and wish to underline the importance - of one/two intersessional events to foster dialogue and understanding on nutrition in the CFS context. The CSM feels that these events – if planned in an inclusive and participatory manner – could greatly contribute to establishing a common language across all CFS constituencies and build our collective capacity to engage in this new and pivotal work stream. The CSM stands ready to engage in the planning and preparation of these events.