Thanks for the draft agenda and timetable for CFS 45. We would like to make some remarks and suggestions on the current drafts, as a contribution towards a vibrant and substantial plenary that we are all looking forward to, even if this will be the first Plenary since the CFS reform without the conclusion of a significant policy convergence process.

Our remarks, questions and suggestions are:

- Regarding the Opening of the CFS Plenary, we would like to be updated regarding the question if the UN Secretary General has been invited, or if he already confirmed his participation to CFS 45.
- We welcome that the CFS Plenary can be opened now on 15 October, and that the World Food Day (WFD) will be celebrated only on Tuesday 16 October and no other session days will be taken away from the Plenary week. As the WFD is now fully embedded into the CFS week, it is also well positioned to create synergies with the CFS Plenary agenda. We would like to know if there is already initial ideas for the agenda of the WFD celebration, and would suggest to connect the main topics of the WFD with main themes of the CFS Plenary. In our opinion, it would be good to have a shared preparation process of CFS and FAO to ensure this connection and synergy among agendas.
- We would like to recall that the Opening Day of the CFS Plenary will coincide with the celebrations of the International Day of Rural Women. CFS should dedicate special attention to this occasion by inviting a rural women keynote speaker for the opening of the plenary week.
- Concerning the first day we would suggest that all discussion and statements related to SOFI take place and conclude on that Monday. We would hope that the RBAs decide this year to launch the SOFI during the CFS Plenary in order to not lose again this important media relevance for the CFS as it happened last year.
- In the context of the SOFI discussions, a special slot should be provided for the annual thematic report of the UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food who usually delivers this report to the UN General Assembly.
- The SOFA report, however, is connected rather to COAG than to the CFS. It could be presented in the context of a major side event to the CFS, as it was done last year, but should not be seen as an official part of the CFS Plenary.
- The CFS evaluation should maybe be discussed already on Wednesday, as we do not know at this stage if full consensus can be reached on the whole document and annex before the Plenary. In any case, the Plenary has the last discussion and decision on the implementation of the response to the CFS Plenary, and it should not come under time pressure.

**We would like to know what is the status of the urbanisation and rural transformation workstream and what is the process from here to October. This workstream is of high importance for many organizations within the CSM, and they continue to expect a policy relevant outcome of it. Is it confirmed that no intersessional event will be held on this topic before the summer break? Will one or both of the two cancelled events be held in**
September? If a discussion is foreseen in plenary as scheduled in the current draft agenda, what will be the preparation process for it? What is the role of the Technical Task Team for this workstream in the coming months?

- We understand that space in the Plenary agenda needs to be provided for the outcome of the OEWG on monitoring meeting in June, in particular for a possible discussion and decision on next steps regarding the stocktaking events on specific CFS policy recommendations.

- It is important to dedicate one session to critical, emerging and urgent issues that can inform the upcoming HLPE in 2020. A proposal could be possibly prepared in the coming weeks by the CFS and HLPE secretariats and discussed at the next AG/Bureau meeting in July.

- We would also like to have a clarification regarding the upcoming HLPE report on Multistakeholder Platforms for financing FSN in the context of the SDG: Until when will funds be available for the translation of the report? Until when will the translation be available? We believe it is not sufficient to dedicate only one hour of the plenary discussion on this report and the follow-up to it.

- It is also not appropriate to dedicate only one hour to the discussion on the upcoming major policy process towards guidelines on food systems and nutrition, and this is also true for the workstream on urbanization and rural transformation.

- The general exchange events so far proposed for Friday morning are not priority in our view and could be replaced by other topics that do have priority.

- We would like to remind everybody that CSM is responsible, being the self-organized civil society space to the CFS, to facilitate the appointment of civil society speakers to discussions during the CFS Plenary.