

CSM Advisory Group
Draft Contributions to the Joint Meeting of the CFS Bureau and Advisory Group,
8 July 2016

Agenda Item 2: MYPoW

- On the MYPoW process: as expressed in the MYPoW meeting, we believe that the ranking exercise was useful to narrow down the list of themes for an OEWG discussion. However, we underline that taking the outcome of the ranking as the final result of the process, was not an adequate conclusion. A proper process would rather require a substantial discussion on the most supported themes, in order to achieve consensus.
- Now, the draft proposal for the theme and scope for the request to the HLPE was circulated on Wednesday this week. Today, there is not sufficient time for a substantial discussion on the details of the new proposal.
- We suggest to broaden the title from “partnerships” to “platforms”, and to not focus the report on the financial aspect. It would then read: *HLPE report on multi-stakeholder platforms to advance food security and nutrition in the context of the 2030 Agenda*
- The proposed scope of the request needs a thorough discussion and revision. The text as it is proposed now, is far too detailed and prescriptive. The scope of the report should outline the CFS request for the report, not the content of the requested report. The independency of the HLPE needs to be respected.
- We suggest to discuss the proposal circulated this Wednesday at the MYPoW meeting on September 12, and not to take a decision today, rather to approve the final text at the Bureau meeting on September 15.
- We also expect the MYPoW meeting in September to properly address two other issues:
 - o The methodology for the broader consultation on the MYPoW 2018-2019, to be conducted between September and December 2016;
 - o A substantial discussion on the other topics which as well received strong support during the ranking exercise, as envisaged by the OEWG Chair, namely the topics of “*Agroecology for FSN*” and “*The impact of trade agreements on FSN*”, in order to advance the discussion on the HLPE requests for 2019. If the current process is maintained for the selection of the HLPE 2018 request, then the ranking outcomes should equally be maintained for the selection of the HLPE 2019 decision.